

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

#### NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 209/11

CVG 1200-10665 JASPER AVENUE EDMONTON, AB T5J 3S9 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on September 7, 2011, respecting a complaint for:

Roll	Municipal	Legal	Assessed Value	Assessment	Assessment
Number	Address	Description		Type	Notice for:
1037928	9651 - 25 Avenue NW	Plan: 8120859 Block: 14 Lot: 4	\$3,400,500	Annual New	2011

## **Before:**

John Noonan, Presiding Officer Judy Shewchuk, Board Member Ron Funnell, Board Member

**Board Officer**: Jason Morris

**Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:** 

Tom Janzen, CVG

Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

Susen Douglass, Assessor, City of Edmonton

# **BACKGROUND**

The Board notes that while the legal description of this property includes both lots 3 and 4, this tax roll number applies only to the assessment of the property on lot 4.

The subject is a single-tenant 21,552 sq.ft. industrial building containing 14,312 sq.ft. of office space, built in 1997 on a 1.92 acre lot in the Parsons Industrial neighbourhood. The 2011 assessment was prepared by the direct sales comparison method and equates to \$157.78 per sq.ft. of improvement.

# **ISSUE(S)**

The complaint form identified a number of issues: that the assessment was greater than the July 1, 2010 market value and inequitable in relation to assessments of similar properties; the capitalized value of the actual net operating income is less than the assessment; the property details and description do not correctly reflect actual physical characteristics; an analysis of ASRs (assessment to sales ratios) of similar properties supported a lower assessment value.

At the hearing, evidence and argument from the Complainant addressed a single issue:

## 1. Do the Complainant's sales comparables justify a lower assessment?

In addition to market sales evidence, the Respondent also addressed assessment equity.

# **LEGISLATION**

## Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

## POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant requested the assessment be reduced to \$2,801,500. The request was based on an analysis and comparison of 6 sales that concluded an appropriate per sq.ft. value for the subject of \$130 as opposed to the assessed value of \$157.78.

The 6 sales occurred between April 2009 and September 2010, were time-adjusted by the same factors employed by the Respondent, and showed per sq.ft. values ranging from \$95.95 to \$149.36.

Attention was drawn to 2 sales in particular:

- 1. 9111 41 Avenue a March 2010 sale of a 28,686 sq.ft. building with 5,036 sq.ft. each of office and mezzanine office, built in 1992 on a 2.06 acre lot for a site coverage of 26%, sold for \$124.01 per sq.ft. and assessed at \$126.68.
- 2. 4611 Morris Road an April 2010 sale of an 11,987 sq.ft. building on a .97 acre lot for a site coverage of 28%, built in 1991, sold for \$125.88 per sq.ft. and assessed at \$149.36.

# **POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT**

The Respondent presented 6 sales comparables dating from July 2008 to May 2010. A flip transaction within weeks accounted for two of the sales in July, 2008, and two of the sales were west end properties. The 6 showed an average adjusted sale price per sq.ft. of \$177.95, compared to \$157.78 for the subject's assessment.

A list of 12 equity comparables including the subject, selected for similarity of age and site coverage, showed an average assessment for main floor area of \$226.14 per sq.ft. and a total area average assessment of \$212.73 per sq.ft. as compared to \$223.10 and \$157.78 for the subject.

# **DECISION**

The CARB reduces the 2011 assessment to \$3,146,500.

## **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

The CARB selected 3 sales from the Complainant's presentation and 2 from the Respondent's in an effort to reconcile substantially different views of value advanced by the parties. In addition to the 2 Complainant sales cited, the Board also considered the Complainant's comparable 8803 58 Avenue which though older, had a 24,602 sq.ft. improvement on a 2.05 acre lot.

The Board used the Respondent's west end comparable property with 20% coverage of a 1.41 acre lot at 17633 114 Avenue and the Respondent's 1.44 acre lot at 1431 70 Avenue. The time adjusted sales prices of these five sales produced an average of \$146 per sq.ft. of improvement, which the CARB found to be a reasonable estimate of the subject's market value.

Dated this 5th day of October, 2011, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.
John Noonan, Presiding Officer
This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: CU (6) GP INC